

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/048,233	01/28/2002	Toshiki Koshimae	Q67191	4362
7:	590 11/19/2004		EXAMINER	
Sughrue Mion Zinn			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
Macpeak & Sea 2100 Pennsylva	as ania Avenue NW	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	OC 20037-3202		2828	
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	·		Un
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/048,233	KOSHIMAE·ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dung (Michael) T Nguyen	2828	
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the provided for reply is specified above, the maximum statute Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. TOFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 pry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH; by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion. , ·
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>24 August 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for	r allowance except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.		•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.	·		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in App	lication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage	
application from the Internationa	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the certified copies not re-	ceived.	
Attachment(s)	-		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413) Apil Date	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT 		Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/048,233

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in this instant application in view of Thronton et al. (US6574257).

With respect to claim 1, the prior art shows in Fig.11 a solid state laser diode comprising a laser diode 1 for exciting a solid laser medium and a constant current source 10 for supplying a constant current to the diode 1. The prior art does not disclose the voltage measurement unit for measuring a voltage at both ends of the

Application/Control Number: 10/048,233

Art Unit: 2828

laser diode 1 and the abnormality detection unit for detecting an abnormality at the laser diode 1 based on an output of the voltage measurement unit. Thronton teach the voltage measurement unit for measuring a voltage at both ends of the laser diode 14 and the abnormality detection unit for detecting an abnormality at the laser diode 1 based on an output of the voltage measurement unit in Fig.1-2, element 38. For the benefit of controlling the stability performance of the laser diode, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the prior art the voltage measurement unit for measuring a voltage at both ends of the laser diode and the abnormality detection unit for detecting an abnormality at the laser diode based on an output of the voltage measurement unit as taught by Thronton.

With respect to claims 2-3, the prior art shows in Fig.9 a solid-state laser device comprising a plurality of laser diodes 1 connected in series for exciting a laser medium 2 and a constant current source 10. The prior art does not disclose the voltage measurement unit for measuring a voltage at both ends of the laser diodes 1 and the abnormality detection unit for detecting an abnormality at the laser diodes 1 based on an output of the voltage measurement unit. Thronton teach the voltage measurement unit for measuring a voltage at both ends of the laser

Application/Control Number: 10/048,233

Art Unit: 2828

diode and the abnormality detection unit for detecting an abnormality at the laser diode based on an output of the voltage measurement unit in Fig.1-2, element 38. For the benefit of controlling the stability performance of the laser diodes connected in series, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the prior art the voltage measurement unit for measuring a voltage at both ends of the laser diode and the abnormality detection unit for detecting an abnormality at the laser diode based on an output of the voltage measurement unit as taught by Thronton.

With respect to claims 5-6, Thronton disclose in Fig.2 a detection circuit 38 comprising a comparator (col.5, 1.54-65) (the abnormality detection unit) which compares voltage detected from contacts 34, 36 to a reference voltage (a normal range having a finite width defined upper limit value and a lower limit value) and which outputs a signal (the abnormality detection signal) indicative of detected voltage which exceeds a reference voltage.

Application/Control Number: 10/048,233 Page 5

Art Unit: 2828

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim 2, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Min Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Dance to the many